

ELKINS HYPNOTIZABILITY SCALE: ADAPTATION OF THE FRENCH VERSION

Frédérique Robin¹, Sacha Morice², Elise Le Berre², & Marion Letellier²

¹*Nantes Université, Univ Angers Laboratoire de psychologie des Pays de la Loire,
LPPL, UR 4638 (France)*

²*Department of Psychology, Nantes University (France)*

Abstract

This study aims to adapt the Elkins Hypnotizability Scale (EHS, Elkins et al., 2015) to a French sample and to determine its psychometric properties. The EHS was conceived in order to assess individuals' responsiveness towards suggestions guiding hypnotic experiments, ranging from motor responses to imagery and hypnotic amnesia. We also investigated the role of social desirability, attitudes and beliefs towards hypnosis, and vividness of visual imagery on individuals' hypnotizability level. Usually, these factor effects are considered in the light of hypnotizability (see Bret et al., 2023; Koep et al., 2020). Preliminary results revealed that the French version of EHS showed a good internal consistency. The gender effect on EHS scores was not significant. A significant, moderate and positive correlation between the EHS and the attitudes/beliefs towards hypnosis suggest that attitudes/beliefs might predict efficiently the responsiveness to hypnotic suggestions. A moderate and a positive correlation was found between the EHS and the vividness of visual images, no significant correlation was found between the social desirability and the EHS scale, confirming its relevance. These findings tend to show that the French adaptation of the EHS may be an available brief assessment of hypnotic suggestibility, useful for researchers and clinical practitioners.

Keywords: *Attitudes, beliefs, hypnosis, hypnotizability, suggestibility.*

1. Introduction

According to Elkins et al. (2015, p. 382) hypnosis is defined as “a state of A state of consciousness involving focused attention and reduced peripheral awareness characterized by an enhanced capacity for response to suggestion.” The hypnotic experiment also called “hypnotic trance” comprises three ingredients: (1) the absorption in the hypnotic experiment. (2) The dissociation, that is, the individual focusing on its internal and subjective sensations, emotions, images and thoughts while inhibiting the external stimuli from the environment. (3) The suggestibility reflecting the inclination to accept and execute the hypnotic suggestions (Kekecs et al., 2014; Robin, 2013). Gueguen et al. (2015) have reported proofs of the hypnosis efficiency as therapeutic for the reduction of pain, anxiety and stress in medical settings (Montgomery et al., 2007). Montgomery et al.'s meta-analysis (2011) emphasized the importance of the suggestions' contents and the hypnotic suggestibility called “hypnotizability”. The therapeutic treatment's efficiency is strongly linked to the individuals' hypnotizability level (Kirsch, 1991; Lynn et al., 2015). The hypnotizability is defined as the inclination of individuals to respond to hypnotic suggestions and has raised important debates between the different theoretical currents of hypnosis (Barnier et al., 2008). Elkins et al. (2015, p. 383) defined the hypnotizability/hypnotic suggestibility as “an individual's ability to experience suggested alterations in physiology, sensations, emotions, thoughts, or behaviour during hypnosis”. Currently, there are more than 25 hypnotic suggestibility scales (Gay, 2007); nevertheless, none of them was translated and validated in a French version.

The Elkins Hypnotizability Scale (EHS, Elkins et al., 2015) was recently developed for a democratization of the hypnotic suggestibility assessment in clinical and experimental settings, less than 10% of hypnotizability scales being used in clinical practice. The lack of resort to these scales hinges on some inconveniences, like a too long testing time, the occurrence of controversial suggestions such as age regression or the sensitivity of the measurement. The EHS is described as pleasant for participants (Yek & Elkins, 2021), quick to administer, with reliable and valid results (Elkins, 2014; Elkins et al., 2015; Kekecs et al., 2016; 2021; Koep et al., 2020; Kvitchasty et al., 2022). The EHS consists of a quick

presentation of the scale, followed by a hypnotic induction of internal focus and relaxation. Then, a series of 12 hypnotic suggestions are orally presented to the participant/patient, one by one. They range from simple motor suggestions to suggestions involving a deeper state of hypnosis such as visual hallucination, and at the end, a post-hypnotic amnesia suggestion. Preliminary analyses showed that the EHS has good internal consistency (.85), test-retest reliability (.93) and convergent validity with the Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale. Moreover, Form C (.82, see Elkins, 2014) was confirmed with samples of college and university students (see Kekecs et al., 2016; Kekecs et al., 2021). The analysis of the principal components revealed a four-factor structure that accounted for 65.37% of the variance. The first factor is *direct motor levitation/imagery*; the second one is *visual/perceptual*; the third one is *olfactory/perceptual*; the fourth factor is *Motor Challenge* (the presentation of this scale is more detailed in the material section, see below). Nevertheless, this factorial structure requires confirmatory analyses and subsequent cross-validation in order to be confirmed (see Elkins et al., 2015; Zimmerman et al., 2023). As the EHS is considered as the new gold standard for assessing hypnotizability, it is useful for French natives to have this valid and reliable scale to assess individuals' hypnotizability both in clinical and research settings. Actually, French clinicians and researchers do not have a valid tool to measure the inclination of individuals to hypnotic suggestions, which calls into question the studies based on hypnosis. Therefore, the current study aims to adapt the EHS and to test its reliability and validity for a French sample. The outcomes are successful treatments and these are linked to positive attitudes towards hypnosis (Mendoza et al., 2017). Negative and unrealistic beliefs may interfere with the patient's adherence to the treatment and cooperation with the practitioner. Although attitudes and beliefs have been recognized as main determinants in how patients respond to hypnosis, research on this topic is scant. Nevertheless, a few studies have demonstrated that positive attitudes/beliefs about hypnosis are associated with higher levels of hypnotic suggestibility (Lynn & Green, 2011). Therefore, we expected positive correlation between scores resulting from the French version of VSABTH-C (Bret et al., 2023) and the French version of the EHS. Positive attitudes/beliefs towards hypnosis might be high and positively correlated with moderate and high level of hypnotizability, and therefore the ease with which the individuals carry out the hypnotic suggestions. This relationship is crucial to determine the effect of hypnotic therapy on the treatment.

Kirsch and Braffman (2001) considered that variations of hypnotizability level were correlated with the ability to engage in an imaginative experiment. However, some authors have shown that imaging ability does not consistently correlate with hypnotic suggestibility while imagining process seems to be crucial for encoding the hypnotic suggestions (Laurence et al. 2008; Terhune & Cardeña, 2010). Grebot and Paty (2005) found significant relation between mental imagery and hypnotic suggestibility. Therefore, we thought useful to test correlation between hypnotizability and visual imagery abilities by using the Vividness of Visual Imagery Questionnaire (Marks, 1973), as this relationship has never been explored with the EHS. Our assumption was that high and moderate levels of hypnotizability would correlate positively with vividness of visual images, suggesting that imagery abilities may be a predictor of hypnotizability.

Spanos (1991) pointed out that hypnotizability level variations hinge on individuals' compliance by giving the impressive to be a "good" hypnotic participant/patient (whether it is consciously or not). Compliance might result from the social desirability that consists in presenting oneself in a favourable light to one's interlocutors. In this view, the responses to hypnotic suggestions would be likely governed by a personality trait, such as social desirability. Notably, it also turns out that EHS scores might be biased because some participants' responses are imbued with strong social desirability.

Taking into consideration all of the above, the present study aims to analyze: (1) the internal consistency of EHS in a French version; (2) the variation across beliefs/attitudes towards hypnosis, visual imagery abilities, and social desirability since no study has presented these comparisons so far, which would contribute to the knowledge of factors modulating responses to hypnotic suggestibility. The effects of these factors (beliefs/attitudes towards hypnosis, vividness of visual imagery, social desirability) are usually considered in the light of hypnotizability, i.e., the ease with which the individual behaves towards a hypnotic suggestion, such as hand levitation. Nevertheless, the relationship between these factors and Elkins hypnotizability scale has not been studied yet. It therefore seemed useful to examine these personality factors independently of each other. Therefore, the study second purpose was to contribute to a better knowledge of determinants in the hypnotic responses.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

Forty-two volunteers, aged from 18 to 45 years old ($M = 26.70$; $SD = 6.21$), were recruited on social networks. They were native French speaker and they never had previous experience with hypnosis. Females ($n = 22$; $M = 25.00$; $SD = 4.95$). Male participants ($n = 20$; $M = 28.60$; $SD = 6.98$).

2.2. Measures

The French version of the Elkins Suggestibility Scale (EHS, Elkins et al., 2015) was used to measure hypnosis suggestibility. As in the original English version, the French version of EHS began with a short introduction followed with a classical hypnotic induction of attentional absorption and relaxation, then followed by a series of 12 hypnotic suggestions guiding hypnotic experiments. Suggestions were ranging from motor responses (5 suggestions) to imagery (6 suggestions) and hypnotic amnesia (1 suggestion). Responses to each suggestion were scored from 0 to 12, according to the extent of response the participant gave to the hypnotic suggestion, indicating the participant's level of hypnotic responsiveness.

The Valencia Scale of Attitudes and Beliefs Toward Hypnosis - Client Version (VSABTH-C, Capafons et al., 2004; 2018; Bret et al., 2023) adapted in the French version of a 37-item self-report measurement. Each item is measured on a 6-point scale ranging from: 1 (completely disagree) to 6 (completely agree).

The Vividness of Visual Imagery Questionnaire (VVIQ, Marks, 1973) adapted in the French version has 16 items administered twice, the first time with eyes open, the second time with eyes closed. High scores reflect high vividness of images on rating scale with 1-point for "no image at all, you only know that you are thinking of the object" and 5-points for "perfectly clear and vivid like a normal vision".

The Social Desirability (DS 36) scale is assessed on two dimensions: self-illusion and impression management (Tournois et al., 2000). Self-illusion (also called self-deception) refers to conscious or automatic positive self-esteem. Impression management (or hetero-deception) is a deliberate strategy used to give others a favourable self-image. Social desirability is defined as the tendency to distort self-descriptions in order to show oneself in a favourable light, i.e., a tendency to give an exaggerated self-profile. The DS36 comprises 36 assertions with 18 items assessing self-illusion (*I am always optimistic*) and 18 items assessing impression management (*I am always polite*). The low correlation between the two factors ($\alpha = .24$) testifies to their quasi-independence.

2.3. Procedure

Participants filled the informed consent, then they answered to the demographic questionnaire and to a few questions about their knowledge and potential experience of hypnosis. Thereafter, each participant was individually administered the EHS or the VSABTH-C in a counterbalanced order. After that, they filled the DS36 and then the VVIQ. All participants were examined after the experiment. The experimentation took approximatively one hour and half for each participant. This study followed the ethical principles in line with the Helsinki declaration.

3. Results

3.1. Reliability analyses

Cronbach's alpha was used to measure the reliability of the French version of the EHS. Descriptive analysis was conducted on each item of the EHS. The average EHS score for females and males were respectively, $M = 5.41$ ($SD = 3.03$); $M = 5.20$ ($SD = 3.07$). There was no significant difference between females and males, $t(40) = 0.222$, $p = .826$. All items indicated good reliability ($\alpha = .826$). Table 1 shows the inter-item correlations of the EHS. Overall, the EHS items correlated significantly.

Table 1. Correlation matrix between 12 items of EHS.

| | EHS 1 | EHS 2 | EHS 3 | EHS 4 | EHS 5 | EHS 6 | EHS 7 | EHS 8 | EHS 9 | EHS 10 | EHS 11 |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| EHS 2 | 0.428** | — | | | | | | | | | |
| EHS 3 | 0.548*** | 0.483** | — | | | | | | | | |
| EHS 4 | 0.337* | 0.592*** | 0.615*** | — | | | | | | | |
| EHS 5 | 0.183 | 0.298 | 0.333* | 0.542*** | — | | | | | | |
| EHS 6 | 0.480** | 0.386* | 0.340* | 0.303 | 0.164 | — | | | | | |
| EHS 7 | 0.389* | 0.432** | 0.412** | 0.379* | 0.085 | 0.350* | — | | | | |
| EHS 8 | 0.198 | 0.301 | 0.412** | 0.506*** | 0.282 | 0.303 | 0.185 | — | | | |
| EHS 9 | 0.183 | 0.043 | 0.333* | 0.152 | 0.143 | 0.164 | 0.341* | 0.542*** | — | | |
| EHS 10 | 0.213 | 0.382* | 0.389* | 0.397** | 0.389* | 0.192 | 0.083 | 0.397** | 0.234 | — | |
| EHS 11 | 0.176 | 0.379* | 0.221 | 0.165 | 0.021 | 0.257 | 0.196 | 0.360* | 0.021 | 0.358* | — |
| EHS 12 | 0.064 | 0.149 | 0.116 | 0.189 | -0.070 | 0.057 | 0.164 | 0.189 | -0.070 | -0.082 | 0.142 |

Note. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$

3.2. Comparisons between VSABTH-C and EHS scores

The major result highlights moderate and positive correlation between the total EHS scores and the total VSABTH-C scores ($r = .445, p < .05$), especially scores for positive attitude/belief towards hypnosis ($r = .483, p < .05$); correlations were significant for two positive dimensions: Interest ($r = .427, p < .05$) and Control ($r = .481, p < .05$). Correlations for the six other dimensions were not significant. Three sub-groups of participants were formed according to their low, medium and high mean scores on EHS. The repeated ANOVA measures revealed a significant interaction effect between Attitudes/beliefs towards hypnosis x EHS levels ($F(2, 39) = 3.53, p < .001, \eta^2_p = .153$). The *post-hoc Bonferroni* comparisons confirmed no difference between the three levels of hypnotizability as regards negative attitudes towards hypnosis. Conversely, whereas participants with low and medium level of hypnotizability were not influenced by the attitudes towards hypnosis, it appeared that individuals with high level of hypnotizability have more positive attitudes towards hypnosis than ones with a low level ($t(39) = 4.248, p = .002$). These results evidence that the level of hypnotic suggestibility may be affected by positive attitudes and beliefs towards hypnosis.

The order of administration of both scales (EHS vs. VSABTH-C) was examined in order to evidence the impact of the hypnotic experiment on the attitudes/beliefs towards hypnosis when the EHS is presented before the VSABTH-C. The Mann-Whitney test revealed that participants who first experienced hypnosis showed higher scores for two positive factors of VSABTH-C: “help” ($U(40) = 139, p = .02, rb = .37$) and “control” ($U(40) = 154.50, p = .05, rb = .30$). On the contrary, participants who completed the VSABTH before experiencing hypnotic suggestions showed higher scores for one negative dimension of the VSABTH-C: “fear” ($U(40) = 139, p = .02, rb = .37$).

3.3. Comparison between VVIQ and EHS scores

Spearman correlation test revealed positive and moderate correlation between the EHS and VVIQ scale on total scores ($r(40) = .403, p < .05$). This result might suggest that vividness of visual images may be one of predictors of hypnotizability level. Nevertheless, we did not find differences between VVIQ scores and the levels of hypnotizability.

3.4. Comparison between DS36 and EHS scores

Spearman correlation did not show significant correlation between DS36 scores and the hetero-deception and the self-illusion sub-scales, respectively ($r = .121; r = .184$), confirming the relevance of the EHS scale for which hypnotic behaviors were not biased by a personality trait like social desirability.

4. Discussion and conclusion

As many researchers and practitioners have underlined, before using hypnosis as a therapeutic complement, it is important to know patients' hypnotic suggestibility, which might influence treatment outcomes, with high or moderate hypnotizability linked to positive outcomes (Bret et al., 2023). The internal consistency of EHS for the first time in a French version matches with the analyses carried out in previous studies (Elkins, 2014; Elkins et al., 2015; Kekecs et al., 2016; 2021; Koep et al., 2020; Kvitchasty et al., 2022). EHS items showed significant and high correlation values. Gender-related variations, as in most previous studies demonstrated invariance. Using hypnotizability scales, most of the studies did not found correlation between hypnotic suggestion responsiveness and personality dimensions (Green, 2004; Zhang et al., 2017). The present findings revealed that personality dimension such as social desirability was not associated with the level of hypnotizability, testifying the EHS French version validity and applicability. Many studies have observed a significant and positive relationship between imagining abilities and hypnotic suggestibility (Glisky et al., 1993; Grebot et al., 2005). Our findings support these predictions: while correlations remain moderate in size, they likely support the hypothesis about the relevance of the vivid visual images as a predictor about hypnotizability and a fortiori the positive outcomes of hypnotherapy. Overall, our results support our predictions regarding the relationship between hypnotizability level and attitudes/beliefs towards hypnosis. The results indicated that participants with positive beliefs towards hypnosis (Control, and Interest) are also more prone to accomplish hypnotic suggestions and hence to reach a high level of hypnotizability. This finding is in line with the response expectancy theory, based on the assumption that the ease with which individuals respond to hypnotic suggestions hinge on expectations of particular behaviours in hypnosis (Kirsch & Lynn, 1998; Lynn & Kirsch, 2006). In return, the success of the hypnotic suggestions reinforce beliefs and motivation to respond in conformity with expectancies. These preliminary data showed that the French adaptation the EHS is an available brief assessment of hypnotic suggestibility, useful for researchers and clinical practitioners. Current measures were collected among a sample of healthy adults

and tend to confirm Elkins et al. (2015) findings collected with outpatient clinical settings. Nevertheless, this study merits to be pursued notably for exploring the factorial structure of the EHS (Zimmerman et al., 2023).

Selected References

- Barnier, A. J., Dienes, Z., & Mitchell, C. A. (2008). How hypnosis happens: New cognitive theories of hypnotic responding. In M. R. Nash & A. J. Barnier (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Hypnosis: Theory, Research and Practice* (pp. 141-177). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bret, A., Deledalle, A., Capafons, A., & Robin, F. (2023). Valencia scale on attitudes and beliefs towards hypnosis: Adaptation of the French online version. *Quality & Quantity*, 58, 207-224.
- Capafons, A., Suárez-Rodríguez, J., Molina-del-Peral, J. A., & Mendoza M. E. (2018). Confirmatory factor analysis of the Valencia scale of attitudes and beliefs toward hypnosis (client version) in a Portuguese sample. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis*, 66(1), 19-42.
- Elkins, G. R., Johnson, A. K., Johnson, A. J., & Sliwinski, J. (2015). Factor Analysis of the Elkins Hypnotizability Scale. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis*, 63(3), 335-345.
- Grebot, E. & Paty, B. (2005). Sous-capacités d'imagerie et suggestibilité. Le rôle de trois sous-capacités (vivacité, contrôle, stabilité) d'imagerie (visuelle, auditive et somesthésique) dans deux dimensions de la suggestibilité (idéationnelle et motrice non volontaire). *Bulletin de Psychologie*, 479, 549-565.
- Kekecs, Z., Nagy, T., & Varga, K. (2014). The effectiveness of suggestive techniques in reducing postoperative side effects: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 119(6), 1407-1419.
- Kekecs, Z., Roberts, L., Na, H., Yek, M. H., Slonena, E. E., Racelis, E., Voor, T. A., Johansson, R., Rizzo, P., Csikos, E., Vizkievich, V., & Elkins, G. (2021). Test-Retest Reliability of the Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale, Form C and the Elkins Hypnotizability Scale. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis*, 69(1), 142-161.
- Koep, L. L., Biggs, M. L., Rhodes, J. R., & Elkins, G. R. (2020). Psychological mindedness, attitudes toward hypnosis, and expectancy as correlates of hypnotizability. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis*, 68(1), 68-79.
- Kvitchasty, A. V., Vereshchagina, D. A., Kovaleva, A. V., Elkins, G. R., & Padilla, V. J. (2022). Adaptation of the Russian Version of the Elkins Hypnotizability Scale. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis*, 70(4), 359-368.
- Marks, D. F. (1973) Visual imagery differences in the recall of pictures. *British Journal of Psychology*, 64(1), 17-24.
- Spanos, N. P. (1991). A sociocognitive approach to hypnosis. In S. J. Lynn & J. W. Rhue, (Eds) *Theories of hypnosis: Current models and perspectives* (pp. 324-361). New York: Guilford Press.
- Tournois, J., Mesnil, F., & Kop, J-L., (2000). Autoduperie et hétéroduperie: un instrument de mesure de la désirabilité sociale. *Revue Européenne de Psychologie Appliquée/European Review of Applied Psychology*, 50(1), 219-233.
- Yek, M. H., & Elkins, G. R. (2021). Therapeutic Use of the Elkins Hypnotizability Scale: A Feasibility Study. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis*, 69(1), 124-141.
- Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Shen, C., Ye, Y., Shen, S., Zhang, B., Wang, J., Chen, W., & Wang, W. (2017). Relationship between hypnosis and personality trait in participants with high or low hypnotic susceptibility. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 13, 1007-1012.
- Zimmerman, K., Snyder, M., & Elkins, G. R. (2023). Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Elkins Hypnotizability Scale in a Clinical Population. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis*, 72(1), 4-15.